## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

**DENNIS NOLAND,** 

Petitioner,

v.

PAT HURLEY, Warden,

CASE NO. 2:05-cv-682 JUDGE MARBLEY MAGISTRATE JUDGE ABEL

Respondent.

## **OPINION AND ORDER**

On March 21, 2006, the Magistrate Judge issued a *Report and Recommendation* recommending that claim two of the instant petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2254 be dismissed and that further proceedings be stayed pending petitioner's exhaustion of state court remedies. Surprisingly, respondent has filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's *Report and Recommendation*.

Respondent objects to the Magistrate Judge's recommendation that proceedings be stayed so that petitioner may file a delayed 26(B) application in the state courts. Although petitioner did not raise the ineffective assistance of counsel as an independent claim in this federal habeas corpus petition, as noted by the Magistrate Judge, such claim may constitute cause for his procedural default of claim one, in which he alleges that his sentence violates *Blakely v. Washington*, 124 S.Ct. 2531 (2004). Further, as also noted by the Magistrate Judge, in view of the Ohio Supreme Court's recent decision in *State v. Foster*, – Ohio St.3d –, 2006 509549 (Ohio, February 27, 20-06), petitioner's *Blakely* claim arguably is meritorious.

Respondent argues that the Magistrate Judge improperly raised the issue of ineffective assistance of counsel as potentially establishing cause for the procedural default of petitioner's

Blakely claim. However, this Court is not aware of, and respondent has referred to no cases standing

for the proposition that a Federal District Court may not presume that a *pro se* petitioner asserts the

ineffective assistance of counsel as cause for the procedural default of another claim. Respondent

further argues that a stay is not appropriate in this case under *Palmer v. Carlton*, 276 F.3d 777 (6<sup>th</sup>

Cir. 2002), or Rhines v. Weber, 125 S.Ct. 1528 (2005). For the reasons discussed at length in the

Magistrate Judge's *Report and Recommendation*, respondent's arguments are not well taken.

Respondent's objections are **OVERRULED.** The Report and Recommendation is

**ADOPTED** and **AFFIRMED**.

Claim two is **DISMISSED**. Further proceedings are **STAYED** pending petitioner's

completion of 26(B) proceedings in the state courts. Petitioner is **DIRECTED** to initiate state court

action within thirty (30) days and to notify this Court within thirty (30) days of completion of 26(B)

proceedings. Failure to comply with the foregoing may result in dismissal of this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Algenon L. Marbley

ALGENON L. MARBLEY United States District Judge

2